



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/096,936	06/12/1998	TIMOTHY DARLAND	CDR97007	2377
25537	7590	04/25/2008	EXAMINER	
VERIZON PATENT MANAGEMENT GROUP 1515 N. COURTHOUSE ROAD SUITE 500 ARLINGTON, VA 22201-2909			SINKANTARAKORN, PAWARIS	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/25/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@verizon.com

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/096,936	DARLAND ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	PAO SINKANTARAKORN	2616

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 January 2008.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,3-13,19 and 23-29 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1, 3-13, 19, 25-27, and 29 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

1. The finality of the rejection made in the Office Action mailed on 11/28/2007 has been withdrawn in order to apply new grounds of rejection.
2. Claims 1, 3-13, 19, 23-29 are currently pending in the application. Claims 2, 14-18, 20-22, and 30-35 have been canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Watts (newly cited US 5,668,861).

Regarding claim 25, Watts disclose a method for setting up a call originated via a public switched telephone network to an intelligent service network component, comprising the steps of:

receiving a request for facilities to provide service for the call (see column 3 lines 21);
selecting by a switch controller the intelligent service network component (see column 3 lines 25-29);

commanding by the switch controller a programmable switch to provide connections and signal to a PSTN to connect the call to the intelligent service network component (see column 3 lines 25-29); and

sending by the switch controller a call offered signal to the intelligent service network component (see column 3 lines 57-65).

Regarding claim 26, Watts discloses a method for connecting a call from an intelligent service network component to a terminating party via a PSTN, comprising the steps of:

receiving by a switch controller from the intelligent service network component a request to connect the call to the terminating party indicating a type of the call (see column 3 lines 34-56, the tone register in the intelligent peripheral signals auxiliary computer, which then queries network switch as to the status of the calling device);

commanding a programmable switch to attain facilities via the PSTN to the terminating party (see column 3 lines 34-56, the tone register signals auxiliary computer, which then queries network switch as to the status of the calling device); and

receiving from the programmable switch a message indicating that the facilities have been obtained (see column 3 lines 34-56, the network switch returns the status of the calling device, then the auxiliary computer instructs network switch accordingly).

Regarding claim 27, Watts discloses a method for disconnecting a call established between a PSTN and an intelligent service network component, comprising the steps of:

receiving by a switch controller a termination signal obtained from a calling device interconnected to the PSTN indicating that the call is being terminated (see column 3 lines 34-56, the telecommunication device presses the keypad to send the tone to the intelligent peripheral, which signals the auxiliary computer);
notifying the intelligent service network component that the established call is being terminated (see column 3 lines 34-56, if the calling device is inactive, the network switch disconnects the intelligent peripheral); and
commanding by a switch controller a programmable switch to release the call (see column 3 lines 34-56, if the calling device is inactive, auxiliary computer instructs the network switch to disconnect the intelligent peripheral).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 1, 3-13, 19, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Erwin et al. (H1,802) in view of Watts (newly cited US 5,668,861).

Regarding claim 1, an intelligent service network [call processor system see fig. 3], comprising:

a programmable switch [switch 300, see fig. 3]; and

a switch controller [call processor 312 or switching module 302, see fig. 3]

coupled to said programmable switch [switch 300, fig. 3], and including a service control means for interfacing with an intelligent service network component of said intelligent service network [see fig. 3 and col. 7, lines 12-22, 38-48, 55-60 and col. 8, lines 30-38, where the call processor includes call processing application control means for providing various call processing and signaling function and interfaces network

management servers, network switching modules and servers for sending signaling and call control data].

Erwin et al. do not explicitly teach another programmable switch coupled to a public switched telephone network (PSTN) means for coupling a calling device; another switch controller, wherein each of the switch controllers means for coupling to at least one of the programmable switches; and one or more intelligent service network components, wherein each of the one or more intelligent service network components means for coupling to at least one of the switch controllers.

Watts, from the same or similar fields of endeavor, disclose another programmable switch coupled to a public switched telephone network (PSTN) means for coupling a calling device (see Figure 1 network switch 34); another switch controller, wherein each of the switch controllers means for coupling to at least one of the programmable switches (see Figure 1 auxiliary computer system 42, wherein the auxiliary computer system provides computer processing capability to the switch); and one or more intelligent service network components, wherein each of the one or more intelligent service network components means for coupling to at least one of the switch controllers (see Figure 1 intelligent peripheral 40).

Thus, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to implement another programmable switch coupled to a public switched telephone network (PSTN) means for coupling a calling device; another switch controller, wherein each of the switch controllers means for coupling to at least one of the programmable switches; and one or more intelligent service network components, wherein each of the one or more

intelligent service network components means for coupling to at least one of the switch controllers as taught by Watts into the network of Erwin et al.

The motivation for implementing another programmable switch coupled to a public switched telephone network (PSTN) means for coupling a calling device; another switch controller, wherein each of the switch controllers means for coupling to at least one of the programmable switches; and one or more intelligent service network components, wherein each of the one or more intelligent service network components means for coupling to at least one of the switch controllers is that it increases the efficiency and capabilities of the network.

Regarding claim 3, wherein said switch controller [call processor 312, see fig. 3] further comprises: a programmable switch support means [telephony support modules 304 and interface modules 306, see fig. 3 and col. 7, lines 38-65] for providing an interface to said programmable switch; and a call control means [call processor 312, see fig. 3 and col. 7, lines 12-22, 38-48, 55-60 and col. 8, lines 30-38] for establishing a connection between ports on said programmable switch.

Regarding claim 4, wherein said switch controller further comprises: a resource control means for allocating resources [see col. 8, lines 55-65, where the call processor is operable to transfer/allocate the format data from the storage device to other components of the telecommunication switch].

Regarding claim 5, Erwin discloses in fig. 3 wherein the switch controller[call processor 312 of fig. 3] further comprises: management interface [network management

server interface] means for providing an interface to external management systems [routers].

Regarding claim 6, Erwin discloses in fig. 5 and in col. 10, lines 38-67 of the intelligent programmable switch includes a digital exchange [digital signal processor, see col. 10, lines 38-67].

Regarding claim 7, Erwin discloses wherein said intelligent service network component comprises one of an operator console, an automated response unit, a service switching control point, and a protocol converter [see col. 5, lines 18-20 and 37-50, where the telecommunication switch preferably includes one or more switching module for performing switching operations].

Regarding claim 8, Erwin discloses in fig. 3 and col. 6, lines 66-to col. 7, lines 4 wherein said intelligent service network component comprises one of a means for accessing data [telephony support module 304], and a means for interfacing [the interface module 306] with a caller.

Regarding claim 9, Erwin discloses wherein said intelligent service network [call processor system 312] component comprises one of a network information distribution system database [primary network management server 314] coupled to said switch controller [switching module 302] via a network information distribution system server, an applications database, a data distribution system database, and a mainframe database [see fig. 3 and col. 7, lines 12-22, 38-48, 55-60 and col. 8, lines 30-38].

Regarding claim 10, further comprising: a system management system [primary network management server 314] coupled to said switch controller [switching module 302, see fig. 3].

Regarding claim 11, further comprising: a force management system [primary network management server B 314] coupled to said switch controller [switching module 302, see fig. 3].

Regarding claim 12, further comprising: a configuration and provisioning system [see col. 7, lines 38-48] coupled to said switch controller [switching module 302, see fig. 3].

Regarding claim 13, further comprising: another programmable switch coupled to said switch controller [see col. 8, lines 20-38, where another telecommunication switch is coupled to a switching modules].

Regarding claim 19, Erwin et al. fail to teach a network further comprising: one or more external networks and resources, wherein each one of the one or more external networks and resources is coupled to at least one of the one or more intelligent service network components.

Watts, from the same or similar fields of endeavor, discloses a network further comprising: one or more external networks and resources (see Figure 1 telco devices 14 and 16 and network switch 36 is external to telco devices 10 and 12 and network switch 34), wherein each one of the one or more external networks and resources is coupled to at least one of the one or more intelligent service network components (see

Figure 1, network switch 36 is connected to intelligent peripheral 40 via auxiliary computer system 42).

Thus, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement a network further comprising: one or more external networks and resources, wherein each one of the one or more external networks and resources is coupled to at least one of the one or more intelligent service network components as taught by Watts into the network of Erwin et al.

The motivation for implementing a network further comprising: one or more external networks and resources, wherein each one of the one or more external networks and resources is coupled to at least one of the one or more intelligent service network components is that it allows communications between two networks.

9. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watts in view of Griffith et al. (newly cited US 5,598,412).

Regarding claim 29, Watts disclose a method for connecting a call, connected between a public switched telephone network and a network component from the first intelligent service network component to a second intelligent service network component, comprising the steps of:

receiving from the first intelligent service network component a request to connect the call (see column 3 lines 34-56, the tone register in the intelligent peripheral signals auxiliary computer, which then queries network switch as to the status of the calling device);

selecting by a switch controller the intelligent service network component (see column 3 lines 34-56);

sending by a switch controller a call offered signal to the intelligent service network component (see column 3 lines 57-65); and

commanding by the switch controller a programmable switch to provide connections and signal to a PSTN to connect the call to the intelligent service neetwork component (see column 3 lines 25-29).

Watts does not disclose a method for transferring a call from the first device to a second device and parking the channel of the call while the call is being transferred. However, Griffith et al. from the same or similar fields of endeavor disclose method for transferring a call from the first device to a second device and parking the channel of the call while the call is being transferred (see column 9 lines 7-15).

Thus, it would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the method for transferring a call from the first device to a second device and parking the channel of the call while the call is being transferred as taught by Griffith et al. into the method of Watts.

The motivation for implementing a method for transferring a call from the first device to a second device and parking the channel of the call while the call is being transferred is that it increases the efficiency of the telecommunications system.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 23-24 are allowed.

11. Claim 28 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

12. **Examiner's Note:** Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

In the case of amending the claimed invention, Applicant is respectfully requested to indicate the portion(s) of the specification which dictate(s) the structure relied on for proper interpretation and also to verify and ascertain the metes and bounds of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAO SINKANTARAKORN whose telephone number is (571)270-1424. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00am-3:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on 571-272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ricky Ngo/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art
Unit 2616

PS

/Pao Sinkantarakorn/
Examiner, Art Unit 2616